

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

JOHN T. WINBURN 100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BERN, NC 28562

COPY MAILED

MAY 2 2 2006

In re Application of

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Michael Steffl

Application No. 10/771,659

: DECISION ON PETITION : UNDER 37 CFR 1.183

Filed: February 4, 2004

Attorney Docket No. 2001P15152WOUS

This is a decision on the petition filed March 20, 2006, which is being treated as a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 365(c) for the benefit of priority to prior-filed international Application No. PCT/EP02/09397, filed November 21, 2001, as set forth in the Application Data Sheet (ADS) received November 22, 2005. This is also a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.183, filed March 20, 2006, requesting waiver of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) as that rule operates in conjunction with 37 CFR 1.17(t).

The petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is **DISMISSED**.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.183 is **DISMISSED** as moot in view of the request filed April 28, 2006, withdrawing the petition to waive the surcharge fee requirement of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3). No fee has been assessed to petitioner's deposit account for the petition under 37 CFR 1.183.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in $\S 1.17(t)$; and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The requirements noted above have been satisfied. However, as noted in the decision mailed

April 20, 2006, petitioner must now file a petition to withdraw from issue under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(2) or 1.313(c)(3) as the issue fee has been paid. No amendments to the application will be considered under 37 CFR 1.312 after payment of the issue fee unless accompanied by a grantable petition to withdraw from issue. If the petition is filed under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(2), the petition must be accompanied by a request for continued examination pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.114. The Application Data Sheet can be the submission requirement of 37 CFR 1.114. If the petition is filed under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(3), the petition should also be accompanied by a letter of express abandonment in favor of the filing of a continuing applicant pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.53(b). Note also MPEP § 1481.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop PETITIONS

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand:

Customer Service Window

Mail Stop Petitions Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax:

(571) 273-8300

ATTN: Office of Petitions

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3218.

Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions